June 16, 2003

TO:	Internal File									
THRU:	Daron Haddock, Permit Supervisor									
FROM:	David Darby, Senior Reclamation Specialist									
RE:	2001, First Quarter Water Monitoring, Lodestar Energy Inc., Horizon Mine, C/007/020-WQ01-1									
1. Was data submitted for all of the MRP required sites? YES [X] NO [] Identify sites not monitored and reason why, if known:										
2. On what date does the MRP require a five-year resampling of baseline water data. See Technical Directive 004 for baseline resampling requirements. Consider the five-year baseline resubmittal when responding to question one above. Indicate if the MRP does not have such a requirement.										
Resampling due date July 2002										
	required parameters reported for each site? Ments, including identity of monitoring site: YES [2]	X]	NO []							
However, during March monitoring, the operator reported "No Access" for all of the stream sites.										
•	egularities found in the data? YES [ments, including identity of monitoring site:]	NO [X]							
As mentioned	i.									

Page 2
C/007/020-WQ01-1
June 16, 2003

5	Wara	DMP	forms	submitted	for all	required	citoc?
Э.	were	DMK	TOTHIS	submittea	ior an	reauirea	sites:

 $1^{st} \; month, \quad YES \; [\; X] \quad NO \; [\;]$ $2^{nd} \; month, \quad YES \; [X] \quad NO \; [\;]$ $Identify \; sites \; and \; months \; not \; monitored: \qquad \qquad 3^{rd} \; month, \quad YES \; [X] \quad NO \; [\;]$

Hardcopies of the DMR forms were submitted to the Division. Only February's data for UPDES site 002 was incorporated into the database.

6. Were all required DMR parameters reported? YES [X] NO []

Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

7. Were irregularities found in the DMR data? YES [X] NO []

Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

See comments above

8. Based on your review, what further actions, if any, do you recommend?

Data from DMRs should be incorporated into the database.

O:\007020.HZN\WATER QUALITY\WQ-01-1.DOC